PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

| From the: INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| То: | PCT | | | |
| WRAY & ASSOCIATES | | | | |
| Lovel 4 The Original | The second of th | | | |
| i William Street WRAY & AS | OCIATES WRITTEN OPINION OF THE | | | |
| PERTH WA 6000 | INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY | | | |
| - 5 NOV 2004 | | | | |
| J. 1417 V. 2004 | (PCT Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1) | | | |
| No. | Date of mailing | | | |
| Fee Earner: Acc: | | | | |
| Applicant's or agent's file reference | FOR FURTHER ACTION | | | |
| 112025 | See paragraph 2 below | | | |
| International application No. International filing date | (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) | | | |
| PCT/AU2004/001018 30 July 2004 | 31 July 2003 | | | |
| J- vnational Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification | ation and IPC | | | |
| ln., Cl. 7 G06F 17/60 | | | | |
| Applicant | | | | |
| VENN, Andrew et al | | | | |
| Y LITTY, THIRDOW OF AL | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following its | ems; | | | |
| X Box No. I Basis of the opinion | | | | |
| Box No. II Priority | | | | |
| Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to | novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability | | | |
| Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention | | | | |
| Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement | | | | |
| Box No. VI Certain documents cited | ENTERED BY | | | |
| Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application | on -5 NOV 2004 \ TW | | | |
| | - 3 1404 130-3 [() 100 | | | |
| Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international app | INPROMA | | | |
| | L INPROVINGENCE | | | |
| 2. FURTHER ACTION | | | | |
| If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. | | | | |
| If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written of written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 22 months from the prior | onion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a re the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form rity date, whichever expires later. | | | |
| For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Name and mailing address of the IPEA/AU | Authorized Officer | | | |
| AUSTRALIAN PATENT OFFICE PO BOX 200, WODEN ACT 2606, AUSTRALIA | MICHAEL LANDER | | | |
| B-mail address: pct@ipaustralia.gov.au | Telephone No. (02) 6283 2494 | | | |

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/AU2004/001018

| Box No. I Basis of the opinion | on the state of the |
|---|--|
| With regard to the language, the which it was filed, unless other | is opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in wise indicated under this item. |
| This opinion has been esta | ablished on the basis of a translation from the original language into |
| the following language | , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of |
| international search (unde | r Rules 12:3 and 23.1(b)). |
| | 요즘 회원 중요 하는 사람이 얼마를 살아 보고 있다. 그는 사람들이 |
| 2. With regard to any nucleotide | and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the |
| claimed invention, this opinion | has been established on the basis of: |
| | |
| a. type of material | |
| a sequence listing | |
| table(s) related to the | sequence listing |
| | |
| b. format of material | |
| in written format | |
| in computer readable | form |
| | |
| c. time of filing/furnishing | |
| contained in the inter | national application as filed. |
| النا | e international application in computer readable form. |
| | |
| furnished subsequent | ly to this Authority for the purposes of search. |
| 2 Ti addition in the accepta | at more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been |
| filed or furnished, the requ | rired statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that |
| | or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished. |
| | |
| 4. Additional comments: | |
| | |
| | |
|) | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/AU2004/001018

| Box No. V | Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i |) with regard to novelty | inventive step or industrial |
|-----------|---|--------------------------|------------------------------|
| | applicability; citations and explanations sur | | |

1. Statement

| Novelty (N) | Claims | 1-44 | YES |
|-------------------------------|--------|------|-----|
| | Claims | | NO |
| Inventive step (IS) | Claims | 1-44 | YES |
| | Claims | | NO |
| Industrial applicability (IA) | Claims | 1-44 | YES |
| | Claims | | NO |

Citations and explanations:

The following documents identified in the International Search Report have been considered for the purposes of this report:

WO 01/26074;

US 5991876; and

Buhse W: "Categorizing Distribution Model Scenarios for Online Music".

Novelty (N) Claims 1-44

All the documents cited in the ISR were category A only. Therefore the claimed invention is not disclosed in any of these patent documents and hence all the claims are novel.

Inventive Step (IS) Claims 1-44

The claimed invention is not obvious in the light of any of the cited documents nor is it disclosed in any obvious combination of them. It is also considered that it would not be obvious to a person skilled in the art in the light of common general knowledge either by itself or in combination with any of these documents.